Fw: Sahrasad / The State and Religious Violence in Indonesia / 1

From: herdi sahrasad (sahrasad@yahoo.com)

- To: sahrasad@yahoo.com; achyanuddin@gmail.com
- Date: Saturday, July 29, 2023 at 10:48 PM GMT+7

----- Forwarded Message ----From: herdi sahrasad <sahrasad@yahoo.com>
To: Sunandini Lal <sunandinilal@yahoo.com>
Cc: Narumi Shitara <shitara@cseas.kyoto-u.ac.jp>
Sent: Saturday, January 18, 2020 at 11:12:16 AM GMT+7
Subject: Re: Sahrasad / The State and Religious Violence in Indonesia / 1

Dear Dr.Sunandini Lal

editor of *The State and Religious Violence in Indonesia: Minority Faiths and Vigilantism* for Kyoto University.

Here are my answers for your questions:

(1) Where was the book published (town/city)?

My answer:

London and New York: Routledge, 2019

(2) How many pages does the book contain?

My answer:

166 pages

(3) Usually a book doesn't have a specific publication date, just a year. Is there a reason you wrote August 13, 2019 rather than just 2019?

My answer:

Routledge, 2019

(4) In the book, is it spelled "Ahmadiyya" or "Ahmadiyah"? You've spelled it both ways in the review.

My answer:

Ahmadiyah

(5) Complex Shia boarding school consisting of Tajul Muluk family's house (local Shia leader), mushala, santri room, class room, grocery store, and all its contents sold out burned.

(i) What is meant by "Complex Shia boarding school"?

My answer:

The housing consisting of Shia boarding school, Tajul Muluk family's house (local Shia leader), prayer room (mushala), santri rooms, classrooms, grocery store, and all its contents totally burned. (ii) What is meant by "mushala"? (Is it a commonly used word or do we need an English translation?)

My answer: prayer room (mushala)

(iii) Was there only one classroom in the school?

My answer: Some classroms

(iv) Were the contents of the grocery store sold out *during* the violence/fire?(v)

My answer:

(6) After the Ahmadiyah massacre, there was a slow reaction from criticism by the government, and this was the subject of much criticism.

Does this mean the following:

After the Ahmadiyah massacre, the government was slow to condemn the perpetrators, which was the subject of much criticism.

My answer: Yes

After the Ahmadiyah massacre, the government was slow to condemn the perpetrators, which was the subject of much criticism.

(1) His study is based on fieldwork that lasted seven months in 2013, housed in Ahmadiyah and Shia settlements in Kuningan District, West Java and Sampang District, East Java.

My answer:

His study is based on ethnographic fieldwork that lasted seven months in 2013, lived and resided in Ahmadiyah and Shia settlements in Kuningan District, West Java and Sampang District, East Java.

Did the author live in Ahmadiyah and Shia settlements?

My answer:

Yes, he lived and resided in Ahmadiyah and Shia settlements

(8) ... at one time they appeared enthusiastic to protect the rights of minorities to embrace their respective beliefs, however, at other times they show a compromise attitude toward perpetrators of violence in the name of religion.

What is meant by "compromise attitude"?

My answer:

a very weak attitude and compromism

(9) A'an Suryana argues that inequality occurs due to differences in sociopolitical challenges each of which must be faced by state actors at these two different levels.

This sentence is difficult to understand. Can you please rewrite it in a clearer way.

My answer:

A'an Suryana argues that inequality occurs because of differences in sociopolitical challenges, that each of which must be faced by state actors at the two different levels.

(10) State actors at the local level seem unconcerned about the fate of minorities because they are affected by friendship or kinship with perpetrators of violence, who have the same belief, namely Sunni, which is the majority Islamic faith in the area where minority Ahmadiyah and Shia live.

This sentence is complicated and unclear. If I rewrite it as follows does it still mean what you are trying to say:

State actors at the local level seem unconcerned about the fate of minorities because they often have ties of friendship or kinship with the perpetrators. The latter are also Sunni, the majority Islamic faith in the area where minority Ahmadiyah and Shia live.

My answer:

YES, you are right

State actors at the local level seem unconcerned about the fate of minorities because they often have ties of friendship or kinship with the perpetrators. The latter are also Sunni, the majority Islamic faith in the area where minority Ahmadiyah and Shia live.

(11) During the Yudhoyono era, people saw an increase in intolerance and even violence against minority congregations, Ahmadiyah and Shia in the form of attacks on places of worship; attacks on minority-owned homes, forced evictions target minorities and prohibit religious activities.

Are "minority congregations" different from Ahmadiyah and Shia?

My answer:

No, it is not different, minority congregations in this context are religious minorities: Ahmadiyah and Shia

(12) Intellectuals and academics criticized the president for his actions that seemed to overcome violence.

What is meant by "overcome violence"?

My answer:

Intellectuals and academics criticized the president for his actions that seemed too slow and weak to tackle and solve violence

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Regards,

Herdi sahrasad

On Friday, January 17, 2020, 02:17:20 PM GMT+7, Sunandini Lal <sunandinilal@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Dr. Sahrasad,

I am editing your review of *The State and Religious Violence in Indonesia: Minority Faiths and Vigilantism* for Kyoto University. Here are some questions for you:

(1) Where was the book published (town/city)?

(2) How many pages does the book contain?

(3) Usually a book doesn't have a specific publication date, just a year. Is there a reason you wrote August 13, 2019 rather than just 2019?

(4) In the book, is it spelled "Ahmadiyya" or "Ahmadiyah"? You've spelled it both ways in the review.

(5) Complex Shia boarding school consisting of Tajul Muluk family's house (local Shia leader), mushala, santri room, class room, grocery store, and all its contents

sold out burned.

(i) What is meant by "Complex Shia boarding school"?

(ii) What is meant by "mushala"? (Is it a commonly used word or do we need an English translation?)

(iii) Was there only one classroom in the school?

(iv) Were the contents of the grocery store sold out *during* the violence/fire?

(6) After the Ahmadiyah massacre, there was a slow reaction from criticism by the government, and this was the subject of much criticism.

Does this mean the following:

After the Ahmadiyah massacre the government was slow to condemn the perpetrators, which was the subject of much criticism.

(7) His study is based on fieldwork that lasted seven months in 2013, housed in Ahmadiyah and Shia settlements in Kuningan District, West Java and Sampang District, East Java.

Did the author live in Ahmadiyah and Shia settlements?

(8) ... at one time they appeared enthusiastic to protect the rights of minorities to embrace their respective beliefs, however, at other times they show a compromise attitude toward perpetrators of violence in the name of religion.

What is meant by "compromise attitude"?

(9) A'an Suryana argues that inequality occurs due to differences in sociopolitical challenges each of which must be faced by state actors at these two different levels.

This sentence is difficult to understand. Can you please rewrite it in a clearer way.

(10) State actors at the local level seem unconcerned about the fate of minorities because they are affected by friendship or kinship with perpetrators of violence, who have the same belief, namely Sunni, which is the majority Islamic faith in the area where minority Ahmadiyah and Shia live.

This sentence is complicated and unclear. If I rewrite it as follows does it still mean what you are trying to say:

State actors at the local level seem unconcerned about the fate of minorities because they often have ties of friendship or kinship with the perpetrators. The latter are also Sunni, the majority Islamic faith in the area where minority Ahmadiyah and Shia live.

(11) During the Yudhoyono era, people saw an increase in intolerance and even violence against minority congregations, Ahmadiyah and Shia in the form of

attacks on places of worship; attacks on minority-owned homes, forced evictions target minorities and prohibit religious activities.

Are "minority congregations" different from Ahmadiyah and Shia?

(12) Intellectuals and academics criticized the president for his actions that seemed to overcome violence.

What is meant by "overcome violence"?

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Regards, Sandy



PAPER NAME

Review of The State and Religious Violen ce in Indonesia.pdf

AUTHOR

Herdi Sahrasad

WORD COUNT 1766 Words	CHARACTER COUNT 10994 Characters
PAGE COUNT 5 Pages	FILE SIZE 129.3KB
SUBMISSION DATE Apr 16, 2023 10:25 PM GMT+7	REPORT DATE Apr 16, 2023 10:25 PM GMT+7

• 6% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 4% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 0% Submitted Works database

• Excluded from Similarity Report

• Manually excluded sources

- 5% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

Southeast Asian Studies

https://englishkyoto-seas.org/

<Book Review> Herdi Sahrasad

A'an Suryana. *The State and Religious Violence in Indonesia: Minority Faiths and Vigilantism.* London and New York: Routledge, 2019.

Southeast Asian Studies, Vol. 9, No. 1, April 2020, pp. 131-134.

How to Cite: Herdi Sahrasad. Review of *The State and Religious Violence in Indonesia: Minority Faiths and Vigilantism* by A'an Suryana. *Southeast Asian Studies*, Vol. 9, No. 1, April 2020, pp. 131-134.

Link to this book review:

https://englishkyoto-seas.org/2020/04/vol-9-no-1-book-reviews-herdi-sahrasad/

View the table of contents for this issue: https://englishkyoto-seas.org/2020/04/vol-9-no-1-of-southeast-asian-studies/

Subscriptions: https://englishkyoto-seas.org/mailing-list/

For permissions, please send an e-mail to: english-editorial[at]cseas.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto University

BOOK REVIEWS

The State and Religious Violence in Indonesia: Minority Faiths and Vigilantism A'AN SURYANA London and New York: Routledge, 2019.

Violence against the minority Ahmadiyah and Shi'a Indonesia increased during the government of President Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono (2004–14). Perhaps the most horrific act of religious violence during this era was the massacre of three Ahmadiyah by a mob of hundreds in February 2011 in Cikeusik, West Java, which is discussed in depth in this book. The incident received a lot of international attention, and human rights observers urged the Indonesian government to respect religious freedom and protect its people. Meanwhile, at around 9 a.m. on December 28, 2011, a crowd of about one thousand Muslims (Sunni) surrounded the Shi'a *pesantren* (boarding school) in Sampang District, East Java, and in a short time destroyed and burned to the ground the entire complex, also contained the home of Tajul Muluk (a local Shi'a leader), a *mushala* (prayer room), a *santri* room, classrooms, and a grocery store.

The Indonesian government's reaction to increasing religious intolerance is rather weak, which has led to the conclusion that is better to remain silent about matters. After the Ahmadiyah massacre, the government was slow to condemn the perpetrators and subjected it to much criticism. More criticism followed when the killers were given short prison sentences by Indonesian courts, even though the footage showed them in the act.

This book, which was originally A'an Suryana's PhD dissertation at the College of Asia & the Pacific, Australian National University, discusses the gaps in attitude of central and local state actors in responding to acts of violence. His study is based on fieldwork conducted over seven months in 2013, during which he lived in Ahmadiyah and Shi'a settlements in Kuningan District, West Java and Sampang District, East Java.

The study found that state actors at the local level seemed unconcerned with the barrage of violence, while state actors at the central level showed an ambivalent attitude: at one time they appeared enthusiastic about protecting the right of minorities to embrace their respective beliefs, but at other times they showed an attitude of compromise toward perpetrators of violence in the name of religion. A'an Suryana argues that inequality occurs due to differences in sociopolitical

challenges that must be faced by state actors at these two different levels. State actors at the local level seem unconcerned about the fate of minorities because they often have ties of friendship or kinship with the perpetrators. The latter are also Sunni, the majority Islamic faith in the area where minority Ahmadiyah and Shi'a live. Conversely, state actors at the central level face more diverse constituencies in terms of religion and beliefs, and also in terms of social and political thought. This has resulted in central state actors inevitably having to face social and political pressure from various parties in connection with the handling of minority issues, especially from domestic and international human rights activists. As a result, state actors at the central level seemed ambivalent in responding to the rise of violence against minorities, especially Ahmadiyah and Shi'a, the Yudhoyono era (pp. 2–24).

During the Yudhoyono years, people saw an increase in intolerance and even violence against minority congregations, Ahmadiyah and Shi'a, in the form of attacks on places of worship, attacks on minority-owned homes, forced evictions targeting minorities, and prohibition of religious activities. A'an Suryana looks at the factors contributing to President Yudhoyono's response to increasing violence against minority congregations during his two terms in office (2004–14).

Intellectuals and academics criticized the president for his actions that seemed too slow and weak to resolve the violence. Some scholars argue that it was President Yudhoyono's narrow political interests that contributed to his ignorance of violence. Other scholars point to more structural factors as having caused President Yudhoyono to become indecisive, indifferent, or slow in taking action against increasing intolerance, such as his childhood experience and family back-ground and the rise of corporatist metaphors (McCoy 2013) in the post-New Order era, which hampered the president from taking bold steps to fight for the rights of minorities. Through a case study of President Yudhoyono's response to violence, A'an Suryana contributes to Indonesia's perspective on the classic debate about whether structures form bodies, or bodies form structures.

A'an Suryana thinks of the binary opposition between agency and structure. Scholars, especially essentialists, have the habit of holding either agents or structures responsible for the occurrence of events, but according to A'an Suryana, ignoring either of these factors neglects some aspects that potentially enrich our understanding of what motivates agents to carry out social action.

In that regard, A'an Suryana has analyzed the response of the Indonesian state to violence against Ahmadiyah and Shi'a minority communities by highlighting the close relationship between state officials and vigilante groups, which influenced the way in which the post-Suharto democratic Indonesian government dealt with the problem of violence against religious minorities. The targets of such attacks were the Shi'a community, the Ahmadiyah community, and Christians, and their places of worship and churches. Usually the attacks involved demolishing holy places, sometimes beating up people, but rarely killing people. The perpetrators behind the attacks were usually members of radical Muslim groups such as the Islamic Defenders Front and the Alliance of Islamic Mass Organizations.

These attacks, including heresy campaigns against Ahmadiyah and Shi'a (non-mainstream faiths), have increased over the years, followed by attacks on properties belonging to members of these minority communities, as reported by the human rights group Setara Institute for Democracy and Peace: 135 cases of intolerance and violence against minorities in 2007, 265 in 2008, 200 in 2009, 216 in 2010, 244 in 2011, and 264 in 2012 (Setara 2014). Another group, Wahid Institute, reported 234 cases of human rights violations in 2008 and 35 in 2009, but the figure steadily rose to 64 in 2010 and 93 in 2011. (Wahid Institute started its annual survey in 2008. Samples of its reports can be found at Wahid Institute 2011.)

In this seven-chapter book, A'an Suryana focuses more on institutional factors that hindered the Yudhoyono government's response to violence. Sometimes the president encouraged initiatives to promote minority rights. However, these programs faced obstacles from other state institutions due to bureaucracy and the tendency of the judiciary to preserve the majority social order and hegemonic interpretation. These challenges were further exacerbated by the decline in presidential power in the post-New Order era.

In the reformation era, religious intolerance in Indonesia—sometimes culminating in violence is increasing. The Setara Institute recorded 236 incidents of violence in 2015, up from 177 the previous year (Setara 2015). The institute noted that the majority of violent incidents were carried out by local governments, thereby implying that the government was actually the main actor perpetuating religious intolerance and urging the central government to punish local governments that failed to protect religious freedom.

Using a case study of President Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono's response to violence against minority congregations, Ahmadiyah and Shi'a, A'an Suryana shows that the interaction between agency and structure is stronger than either of those factors alone in explaining social phenomena. This framework reconciles conflicting arguments between structural actor theory and rational actor theory. Research that focuses on studying both structure and agency tends to lose the holistic picture of what factors motivated or encouraged Yudhoyono to act or react to the increasing religious intolerance that led to the growth in religious violence during the two terms of his presidency. A'an Suryana is able to show through case studies in East and West Java that the reason for the violence against minorities during Yudhoyono's presidency was that he was a human agent whose actions were limited by structure. However, on the other hand, he was also a rational actor who took measured actions to pursue his own interests.

By reasoning that the violence originated in part from the close relations of state officials with vigilante groups, and the general tendency for authorities to establish mutual and material interests with such groups, the author points out that vigilante groups themselves are capable of committing violence against minority congregations with a significant level of impunity. While the Indonesian state has become much more democratic, accountable, and decentralized since 1998, religious violence against the Ahmadiyah and Shi'a communities shows that countries are still reluctant to

help or allow minority groups to practice their religion (pp. 59–80).

This book is based on A'an Suryana's extensive ethnographic fieldwork in the communities of West and East Java. Research materials include in-depth interviews with community and religious leaders, state officials, security forces, and prominent politicians. Data collection was carried out using ethnographic methods and in-depth interviews with sources related to this research.

A'an Suryana succeeds in presenting a new approach to the problem of Islam, violence, and the state in Indonesia, and this book will be interesting for researchers of Southeast Asian politics, Islam and politics, conflict resolution, state and violence, terrorism and political violence.

Herdi Sahrasad

Graduate School of Islamic Studies, University of Paramadina Department of Political Science, University of Muhammadiyah Jakarta

References

- McCoy, Mary E. 2013. Purifying Islam in Post-authoritarian Indonesia: Corporatist Metaphors and the Rise of Religious Intolerance. *Rhetoric & Public Affairs* 16(2): 275–315.
- Setara. 2015. Laporan pemantauan pelanggaran kebebasan 2015 [Report on monitoring of freedom violation 2015]. http://setara-institute.org/laporan-pemantauan-pelanggaran-kebebasan-2015/, accessed February 10, 2019.
- 2014. Indeks kinerja penegakan HAM 2014 [Human rights performance index 2014]. http:// setara-institute.org/indeks-kinerja-penegakan-ham-2014-2/, accessed January 11, 2019.
- Wahid Institute. 2011. Laporan kebebasan beragama Wahid Institute 2011 [Report on religious freedom, Wahid Institute, 2011]. http://wahidinstitute.org/wieng/images/upload/dokumen/laporan_ kebebasan_beragama_wahid_institute_2011.pdf, accessed January 10, 2019.

The Vortex of Power:

Intellectuals and Politics in Indonesia's Post-Authoritarian Era

AIRLANGGA PRIBADI KUSMAN

Singapore: Palgrave Macmillan, 2019.

There has been substantive growth in the scholarship of post-authoritarian Indonesian politics in the past two decades. We know more about the achievements and limitations of Indonesian democratic politics as a vibrant democratic performer that continues to struggle against illiberal and oligarchic influences. Making an important addition or contribution to this rich body of literature can be challenging, especially on research pertaining to the relationship between local politics, oligarchic power, and competing social forces. This is the task that Airlangga Pribadi Kusman tackles quite successfully in his book.